# Constraints and Automata (time-series constraints)

#### Nicolas Beldiceanu

nicolas.beldiceanu@mines-nantes.fr

ACP summer school, Cork, June 2016

## Table of content

- Background
- Synthesizing automata with accumulators from transducers
- Parametric glue matrices
- Simplifying automata with accumulators
- Reformulating in LP
- Deriving necessary conditions (as linear constraints)
- Bounds

The story to remember

Generate a few hundred time-series constraints in a compositional way, but need to simplify them, to generate necessary conditions and bounds to get some reasonable behaviour both in CP and LP

Since **too many constraints** this cannot be done for each constraint individually in a reasonable time-frame

> Has also to handle the combinatorial aspect of these constraints in a compositional way

Finite state transducer (*introduced by* M.P. Schützenberger)

- A FST is defined by:
  - A finite set of states Q
  - An input alphabet  $\Sigma$  (finite set of input symbols)
  - An **output alphabet**  $\Gamma$  (finite set of output symbols)
  - A transition relation  $\delta$ : Q x ( $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ) x ( $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ) x Q
  - − An initial state  $q_{\text{init}} \in Q$
  - A set of **accepting states**  $F \subseteq Q$

Some time can have more than one output symbol in the transition relation

## Finite state transducer

- Used mostly
  - Natural language (text of speech)
- But also
  - Computational biology
  - Fraud detection
- Popular (*like automata*) in industry
  - microsoft research
  - google (M. Mohri)
- Like automata you can learn them

examples of transducers later on

## Background

- PGMO Project with EDF
- Analysis of power output curves for electricity generators
- Use ModelSeeker to describe/categorize/synthesize output from UCP model
- Published in CP 2013

## Example: From this ...



### ... to this (generated profile)



#### Example: the peak constraint



peak  $(2, \langle 1, 1, 4, 8, 6, 2, 7, 1 \rangle)$ 

## Automaton with counters: peak constraint in Global Constraint Catalog

#### STATE SEMANTICS

s: stationary/decreasing mode $(\{> | =\}^*)$ u: increasing mode $(< \{< | =\}^*)$ 



#### Figure 5.689: Automaton of the PEAK constraint

But always some missing constraints (*when meeting people from industry*)

and dont want to introduce the missing constraints one by one in the global constraint catalog

which leads to a synthesized time-series catalog

## Table of content

- Background
- Synthesizing automata with accumulators from transducers
- Parametric glue matrices
- Simplifying automata with accumulators
- Reformulating in LP
- Deriving necessary conditions (as linear constraints)
- Bounds

## Decomposing the definition of a constraint

- Constraints are pure functional dependencies or predicates (see predicates later on)
- Implemented as **automata with counters**
- Four steps (layers) in definition
  - Building signature
  - Recognize pattern occurrences in sequence
  - Extract feature per pattern
  - Aggregate features

### Example: min\_width\_peak



MIN\_WIDTH\_PEAK $(5, \langle 4, 4, 2, 2, 3, 5, 5, 6, 3, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1 \rangle)$ 

### Example: min\_width\_peak (continued)



 $MIN\_WIDTH\_PEAK(5, \langle 4, 4, 2, 2, 3, 5, 5, 6, 3, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2 \rangle)$ 

## Signature

- Convert (integer) value to finite alphabet
- Signature links two consecutive entries in time-series
- We use <,=,> with their natural semantics
- Other signatures possible

## Patterns

- Identify a pattern we are looking for
- Extract subpart for which computes feature *a*, *b*

pattern	regular expression $r$	before	b after a
increasing	<	0	0
increasing_sequence	$< (<   =)^* <   <$	0	0
increasing terrace	<=+<	1	1
summit	$(<  (< (=   <)^* <)) (>  (> (=   >)^* >))$	1	1
plateau	<=*>	1	1
proper_plateau	<=+>	1	1
strictly increasing sequence	<+	0	0
peak	$< (=   <)^* (>   =)^* >$	1	1
inflexion	$< (<   =)^* >   > (>   =)^* <$	1	1
steady	=	0	0
steady_sequence	=+	0	0
zigzag	$(<>)^{+}(< <>) (><)^{+}(> ><)$	1	1

Find **maximal** words matching regular expression r

r

#### Patterns (continued)



## Definition of {*s*|*i*|*e*}-occurrences of an occurrence of pattern

#### Given

```
an input sequence x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1},

its signature sequence s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-2},

a pattern (r, a, b),

a non-empty signature subsequence s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_j

forming a maximum word matching r

the s-occurrence (i..j) is the index sequence i, \dots, j,

the i-occurrence [(i+b)..j] is the index sequence i+b, \dots, j,

the e-occurrence [[(i+b)..(j+1-a)]] is the index sequence
```

*i*+*b*,...,*j*+1-*a*.

## Definition of {*s*|*i*|*e*}-occurrences of an occurrence of pattern

#### Given

```
an input sequence x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1},

its signature sequence s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-2},

a pattern (r, a, b),

a non-empty signature subsequence s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_j

forming a maximum word matching r

the s-occurrence (i..j) is the index sequence i, \dots, j,

the i-occurrence [(i+b)..j] is the index sequence i+b, \dots, j,

the e-occurrence [[(i+b)..(j+1-a)]] is the index sequence

i+b, \dots, j+1-a.
```

*s*-occurrences : *maximal signature sequence matching r i*-occurrences : *do not overlap* (*footprint of the pattern*) *e*-occurrences : *used to compute the feature value* 

## Example of {*s*|*i*|*e*}-occurrences for the **increasing\_terrace** pattern



a=1=b excludes first and last input values 2 and 4

## Example of {*s*|*i*|*e*}-occurrences for the **increasing** pattern



since *b*=0 *s*-occurences and *i*-occurrences match since *a*=0=*b* the 1 and 2 input values are part of *e*-occurrences

## Example of {*s*|*i*|*e*}-occurrences for the **steady\_sequence** pattern



since *b*=0 *s*-occurences and *i*-occurrences match since *a*=0=*b* the 1 and 2 input values are part of *e*-occurrences

### Features (computed from e-occurrences)

- one : value 1
- width : number of positions of the e-occurrence
- surf : sum of the values of the e-occurrence
- max : maximum value of the e-occurrence
- min : minimum value of the e-occurrence
- range : range of the e-occurrence: max-min

### Aggregators (computed from sequence of features)

- max : largest value of a sequence of features
- min : smallest value of a sequence of features
- sum : sum of the features of a sequence of feature

Device for recognizing *i*-occurrences of a pattern: a seed transducer

- Define a pattern by a **transducer** (*reading/writing regular language*)
- **Input**: signature sequence
- **Output**: word of a semantic alphabet with letters:
  - out we are outside the pattern
  - maybe we are possibly in the pattern (must be confirmed later on)
  - found first place we know we are in the pattern
    in we are still in the pattern

everything will be synthesized from the seed transducer

### Example: transducer for the peak pattern



### Example: transducer for the peak pattern



## Well-formed seed transducer (language of the output)

state semantics

- o : outside or after the end of a pattern
- b: potentially inside (before a found/found<sub>e</sub>)
- a: potentially inside (after a **found**)



## Well-formed seed transducer (language of the output)

#### state semantics

- o : outside or after the end of a pattern
- b: potentially inside (before a found/found<sub>e</sub>)
- a : potentially inside (after a **found**)



#### **Recognizing pattern**

- maybe<sub>b</sub>\* found<sub>e</sub>
- maybe<sub>b</sub>\* found {maybe<sub>a</sub>\* in<sup>+</sup>}\*

## Transducer for increasing\_terrace



## Transducer for increasing

pattern	regular expression $r$	before b a	after $a$
increasing	<	0	0
	<b>V</b>		
	$<: \mathbf{found}_{\mathbf{e}}  \textcircled{\geq} s  \geq : \mathbf{out}$		



## Footprint constraint: identifying *i*-occurrences of a pattern



footprint(peak, [4,4,2,2,3,5,5,6,3,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,1], [0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,0])

## Decoration table for the footprint constraint (generating counters updates)

initialisation return	$\begin{array}{l} C \leftarrow 0 \\ p_n = 0 \end{array}$		
semantic letters	annotations		
	guard	$update \ of \ C$	
out	$p_i = 0$		
$out_r$	$p_{i} = 0$		
$\mathbf{out}_{\mathbf{a}}$	$p_{i} = 0$		
maybe <sub>b</sub>	$p_i = p_{i+1}$		
maybe <sub>a</sub>	$p_i = p_{i+1}$		
$\mathbf{found}_{\mathbf{e}}$	$p_i = C + 1$	$C \leftarrow C + 1$	
found	$p_i = C + 1$	$C \leftarrow C + 1$	
in	$p_i = C$		

Example: synthesizing the footprint constraint for the **peak** pattern


Example: executing the synthesized footprint automaton of the **peak** pattern



### Feature constraints (example)



MIN\_WIDTH\_PEAK (2, [7, 5, 5, 1, 4, 5, 2, 2, 3, 5, 6, 2, 3, 3, 3, 1])

## Decoration table for the feature constraint

Featu	re $f$ neu	$\operatorname{tral}_f \min_f$	$\max_{f}$	$\phi_f$	$\delta_f$				
one		1 1	1 1	max	0		Aggre	gator $g$ default $_{g,f}$	
width surfac	e	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\infty \end{array}$	$_{+\infty}^n$	++	$\frac{1}{x_i}$		Max	$\min_{f}$	
max	-	$\infty - \infty$	$+\infty$	max	$x_i$		Min Sum	maxf	
range	+	$-\infty$ $-\infty$	$+\infty$ + $\infty$	min	$x_1$ $x_1$			0	
initialization		$C \gets \texttt{def}$	$\texttt{ault}_{af}$	:		$D \leftarrow \texttt{neu}$	$tral_{f}$	$R \leftarrow \texttt{default}_{af}$	_
return		a(R,C)							
Semantic Letter						Decorat	ion		
	After	Update of	C			Update of	D	Update of R	
out									
$\operatorname{out}_r$						$D \leftarrow \texttt{neu}$	$tral_{f}$		
$\operatorname{out}_a$		$C \leftarrow \texttt{def}$	$\texttt{ault}_{af}$			$D \leftarrow \texttt{neu}$	$tral_{f}$	$R \leftarrow a(R,C)$	
$maybe_b$						$D \leftarrow \phi_f($	$D, \delta_f)$		
$maybe_a$	0					$D \leftarrow \phi_f($	$D, \delta'_f)$		
$maybe_a$	1					$D \leftarrow \phi_f($	$D, \delta_f)$		
found	0	$C \leftarrow \phi_f($	$\phi_f(D, \delta)$	$\delta_f), \epsilon$	$\delta'_{f}$	$D \leftarrow \texttt{neu}$	$tral_f$		
found	1	$C \leftarrow \phi_f($	$D, \delta_f)$		1	$D \leftarrow \texttt{neu}$	$tral_f$		
in	0	$C \leftarrow \phi_f($	$C, \phi_f(I)$	$D, \delta'_{I}$	((e	$D \leftarrow \texttt{neu}$	$tral_f$		
in	1	$C \leftarrow \phi_f($	$C, \phi_f(I)$	$D, \delta_{f}$	r))	$D \leftarrow \texttt{neu}$	$tral_{f}$		
found <sub>e</sub>	0					$D \leftarrow \texttt{neu}$	$tral_{f}$	$R \leftarrow a(R, \phi_f(\phi_f(D, \delta_f), \delta'_f))$	)
found <sub>e</sub>	1					$D \leftarrow \texttt{neu}$	$tral_{f}$	$R \leftarrow a(R, \phi_f(D, \delta_f))$	

## Example: synthesizing the automaton for min\_width\_peak



seed transducer



## Example: synthesizing the automaton for min width peak





## Running min\_width\_peak

aggregate $R$	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	( <u>)</u>
$\operatorname{current} C$	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	4	5	5	18	18	18	18	18	18	6	in(5
potential $D$	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	1	0	1	2	3	4	5	0	В
semantic action		ο	ο	ο	ο	m <sub>b</sub>	mb	mb	f	in	ma	oa	m <sub>b</sub>	mb	m <sub>b</sub>	m <sub>b</sub>	m <sub>b</sub>	f	
signatures		=	>	=	<	<	=	<	>	>	=	<	=	=	=	=	=	>	
states		s a	s a	s a	s 1	r 1	r 1	r 1	<b>r</b> ;	t i	t i	t 1	r 1	r 1	r 1	r 1	- 1	•	ť
input		4	4 5	2 1	2 :	3	5 8	5 (	3	3	1	1 1	2 2	2 2	2 2	2 1	2 2	2	1

- Background
- Synthesizing automata with accumulators from transducers
- Parametric glue matrices
- Simplifying automata with accumulators
- Reformulating in LP
- Deriving necessary conditions (as linear constraints)
- Bounds

## Decoration table for the feature constraint

**Problem**: since too many time-series constraints can not afford computing the glue matrix for each constraint independently

**Solution**: compute **parametrized glue matrices** at the level of the transducer (*rather than at the level of each automaton*)

## Values and functions used to parametrize generated automata (*and glue matrices*)

Feature $f$	$\mathrm{id}_f$	$\min_{f}$	$\max_{f}$	$\phi_{f}$	$\delta^i_f$			
one	1	1	1	1	1	Aggregator g	$\phi_g$	$default_{gf}$
width	0	n+1	$+\infty$	+	1	Max	may	min
surface	0	$-\infty$	$+\infty$	+	$x_i$	Min	min	IIIII f
max	$-\infty$	$-\infty$	$+\infty$	max	$x_i$	Min Sum	min ⊥	$\max_{f}$
min	$+\infty$	$-\infty$	$+\infty$	$\min$	$x_i$	Sum	Т	0
range	0	0	$+\infty$	n/a	$x_i$			

Table 2.1: (Left) Features: identity, minimum, and maximum values; the operators  $\phi_f$  and  $\delta_f^i$  recursively define the feature value  $v_u$  of a time series  $x_\ell, \ldots, x_u$  by  $v_\ell = \phi_f(\operatorname{id}_f, \delta_f^\ell)$  and  $v_i = \phi_f(v_{i-1}, \delta_f^i)$  for  $i > \ell$ , where  $\delta_f^i$  is the contribution of  $x_i$  to  $v_u$ ; n stands for the length of the time-series. (Right) Aggregators: operators and identity values relative a feature f.



## Parametrize glue matrix for increasing\_sequence (*its reverse is* decreasing\_sequence)



Parametrised glue matrix for any  $g_{-}f_{-}$ INCREASING\_SEQUENCE constraint

(define the correction term)

- Background
- Synthesizing automata with accumulators from transducers
- Parametric glue matrices
- Simplifying automata with accumulators
- Reformulating in LP
- Deriving necessary conditions (as linear constraints)
- Bounds

### **Automata simplifications**

#### Goal

- Reduce the number of accumulators and aggregate as early as possible
- Simplify the automata at the stage of their synthesis

#### Three simplification types

- Simplifications coming from the properties of patterns, ex.: aggregate-once
- Simplifications coming from the properties of the feature/aggregator pairs, ex.: immediate-aggregation
- Removing the never used accumulators.

### "Aggregate-once" simplification

#### What is the "Aggregate-once" simplification ?

It allows to compute the feature value of a curent pattern occurrence only once and, possibly, earlier than the end of a pattern occurrence.

#### When is the simplification applicable ?

There must exist a transition on which the value of the feature from the current pattern occurrence is known.

### Example: counting number of peaks



 $S_{0} = `<` S_{1} = `<` S_{2} = `=` S_{3} = `<` S_{4} = `<` S_{5} = `>` S_{6} = `>` S_{7} = `<` S_{8} = `=` S_{9} = `>`$ 

- 1. First peak is detected upon consuming s<sub>5</sub>
- 2. Second peak is detected upon consuming s9

### Two automata for nb peak



### Percentage of simplified constraints

Simplification	Percentage
aggregate once	28.9 %
immediate aggreg.	45.9 %
other properties	11.6 %
unchanged automata	13.6 %

- Background
- Synthesizing automata with accumulators from transducers
- Parametric glue matrices
- Simplifying automata with accumulators
- Reformulating in LP
- Deriving necessary conditions (as linear constraints)
- Bounds

### Goal

#### Goal

A way to generate a model for an automaton with linear or linearisable accumulator updates, for example containing min and max.

#### Linear decomposition of automata without accumulators

Côté, M.C., Gendron, B., Rousseau, L.M.: Modeling the regular constraint with integer programming. In: CPAIOR 2007. LNCS, vol. 4510, pp. 29–43. Springer (2007)

### Signature constraint

Introduced variables:  $S_i$  over  $\Sigma$  with  $i \in [0, n-2]$ .

What do the values of  $S_i$  mean ?

$$S_i = '>' \Leftrightarrow X_i > X_{i+1}, \forall i \in [0, n-2]$$
  

$$S_i = '=' \Leftrightarrow X_i = X_{i+1}, \forall i \in [0, n-2]$$
  

$$S_i = '<' \Leftrightarrow X_i < X_{i+1}, \forall i \in [0, n-2]$$

### **Transition function constraints**

Introduced variables:  $Q_i$  over Q with  $i \in [0, n-1]$ ;  $T_i$  over  $Q \times \Sigma$  with  $i \in [0, n-2]$ 



Each transition constraint has a form:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i &= q \land S_i = \sigma \Leftrightarrow Q_{i+1} = \delta_1(q,\sigma) \land T_i = \langle q, \sigma \rangle, \\ \forall i \in [0, n-2], \ \forall q \in Q, \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma \end{aligned}$$

Initial state is fixed

 $Q_0 = q_0$ 

### **Accumulator updates**



#### Accumulator updates

 $R_i$  over [a, b] with i in [0, n-1];  $T_i$  over  $Q \times \Sigma$  with i in [0, n-2].

- ►  $R_0 = 0$
- $T_i = \langle r, \rangle \Rightarrow \mathbf{R_{i+1}} = \mathbf{R_i} + \mathbf{1}, \forall i \in [0, n-2]$
- $T_i = \langle q, \sigma \rangle \Rightarrow \mathbf{R_{i+1}} = \mathbf{R_i}, \forall i \in [0, n-2], \forall \langle q, \sigma \rangle \in (Q \times \Sigma) \setminus \langle r, \rangle$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $M = R_{n-2}$ 

### New variables for the linear model

#### New variables

- Q<sub>i</sub> is replaced by 0-1 variables Q<sup>q</sup><sub>i</sub> for all q in Q.
   Q<sup>q</sup><sub>i</sub> = 1 ⇔ Q<sub>i</sub> = q
- New constraint:  $\sum_{q \in Q} Q_i^q = 1, \forall i \in [0, \dots, n-1]$
- The same procedure for T<sub>i</sub> and S<sub>i</sub> wrt their domains
- X<sub>i</sub> and R<sub>i</sub> remain integer variables!
- Every constraint of the logical model is made linear by applying some standard techniques
- The linear model has O(n) variables and O(n) constraints

- Background
- Synthesizing automata with accumulators from transducers
- Parametric glue matrices
- Simplifying automata with accumulators
- Reformulating in LP
- Deriving necessary conditions (as linear constraints)
- Bounds

## Generating necessary conditions (as linear constraints)

- Good news:
  - Can use/adapt standard LP techniques (Farkas Lemma) to generate necessary conditions (*expressed as linear constraints*)
  - Can use this even if accumulators updates are min/max operations
  - Can rank the linear constraints
  - Useful both for CP and LP
  - The invariants neither depend of the domain of the variables, nor on the size of the sequence.

Leads to a data base of cuts for time-series constraints

# Examples of linear constraints (max\_max\_peak)



$$R_i - R_{i-1} \ge 0$$

(increasing R since use max aggregator)

# Examples of linear constraints (max\_range\_decreasing)



 $R_i - R_{i-1} \ge 0$  (increasing R since use max aggregator)  $R_i + \mathtt{VAR}_{i-1} - \mathtt{VAR}_{i-2} \ge 0$ 

# Examples of linear constraints (max\_range\_increasing)



 $R_i - R_{i-1} \ge 0$  (increasing R since use max aggregator)  $R_i - \text{VAR}_{i-1} + \text{VAR}_{i-2} \ge 0$  Examples of linear constraints (max\_width\_strictly\_decreasing\_sequence)



 $R_i - R_{i-1} \geq 0$  (increasing R since use max aggregator)

Examples of linear constraints (max\_width\_strictly\_increasing\_sequence)



 $R_i - R_{i-1} \geq 0$  (increasing R since use max aggregator)

# Examples of linear constraints (min\_max\_peak)



 $-R_i+R_{i-1}\geq 0$  (decreasing R since use min aggregator)

# Examples of linear constraints (min\_width\_plain)



 $-R_i+R_{i-1}\geq 0$  (decreasing R since use min aggregator)

# Examples of linear constraints (min\_width\_plateau)



 $-R_i + R_{i-1} \ge 0$  (decreasing R since use min aggregator)

Examples of linear constraints (nb\_bump\_on\_decreasing\_sequence)



# Examples of linear constraints (nb\_dip\_on\_increasing\_sequence)


# Examples of linear constraints (nb\_gorge)



## Examples of linear constraints (nb\_peak)



$$-R_i + R_{i-2} + 1 \ge 0$$

 $-2R_i + R_{i-1} + R_{i-2} + 2 \ge 0$ 

smallest cycle between two consecutive incrementation

# Examples of linear constraints (nb\_summit)



## Examples of linear constraints (nb\_valley)



 $-R_i + R_{i-2} + 1 \ge 0 \qquad -2R_i + R_{i-1} + R_{i-2} + 2 \ge 0$ 

smallest cycle between
two consecutive incrementation



 $R_i - R_{i-1} \geq 0$ 

increasing R since use sum aggregator and feature one

 $-R_i + R_{i-3} + 1 \ge 0$ 

smallest cycle between two consecutive incrementation

- Background
- Synthesizing automata with accumulators from transducers
- Parametric glue matrices
- Simplifying automata with accumulators
- Reformulating in LP
- Deriving necessary conditions (as linear constraints)
- Bounds

### The need for bound

- Want to have lower/upper bound on the result returned by a timeseries constraint parametrized by:
  - The sequence length
  - The smallest or largest values of the variables in the sequence

Having a bound is good, but having a way to characterize all solutions reaching this bound is even better

### Point

 In a significant number of cases we can just use the standard regular constraint (with an automaton having a fixed number of states) for characterizing all solutions reaching a given bound

when the bound does not depend of the domain size

### Example 1: nb\_peak (upper bound $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ )





 $n \mod 2 = 1$ 

 $n \mod 2 = 0$ 

Exercise: get a transducer for the decreasing\_terrace pattern (>=+>)



#### Exercise: transducer for decreasing\_terrace

